

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/066,243	GOLDBERG, BERNEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Oanh Duong	2155	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Oanh Duong. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mitch Harris (Registration No. 42,638). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10/27/2006 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**  
 Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested applicant to amend claim 1, including to delete "signal-bearing media" and add "computer readable storage medium" to overcome a possibility of 101 rejection; and to change the feature "said message" in claims 2, 11, 18, 20, and 27 to "said email message" in order to place the application in condition for allowance..